

1 DAWN SESTITO (S.B. #214011)
2 dsestito@omm.com
3 MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114)
4 mcowan@omm.com
5 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
6 400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor
7 Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
8 Telephone: (213) 430-6000
9 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

6 DAVID J. LENDER (*pro hac vice*)
7 david.lender@weil.com
8 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
9 767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153-0119
Telephone: (212) 310-8153
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

10 *Counsel for Defendant Exxon Mobil Corporation*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
15 CALIFORNIA, ex rel. ROB BONTA,
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF
16 CALIFORNIA,

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.
19 EXXON MOBIL CORPORATION; AND
DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,

20 Defendants.

21 Case No. 3:24-cv-07594-RS

22 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER**
REGARDING REMAND OF CASE
PENDING APPEAL

23 Hon. Richard Seeborg

24

25

26

27

28

1 The following stipulation is entered between Plaintiff The People of the State of
2 California (“Plaintiff”), and Defendant Exxon Mobil Corporation (“ExxonMobil” and collectively
3 with Plaintiff, the “Parties”), by and through their respective counsel, with reference to the
4 following facts:

RECITALS

6 WHEREAS, after ExxonMobil removed this action to this Court, Plaintiff filed a Motion
7 to Remand, which the Court granted on February 24, 2025;

8 WHEREAS, the Court’s February 24, 2025, order granted a 42-day administrative stay of
9 the remand order “so as to sort out whether a longer stay pending appeal might be warranted,”
10 and directed the Parties to submit within seven days a “briefing schedule for addressing the
11 propriety of a stay pending appeal” (Dkt. 28 at 15);

12 WHEREAS, on March 11, 2025, ExxonMobil filed a notice of appeal of the Remand
13 Order to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal (“Remand Appeal”) (Dkt. 32), which remains pending
14 as of the date of this stipulation;

15 WHEREAS, ExxonMobil concurrently filed its Motion to Stay on March 11, 2025 (Dkt. 33), and Plaintiff filed its Opposition on March 25, 2025 (Dkt. 36);

17 WHEREAS, the Parties submitted a stipulation on March 28, 2025, notifying the Court
18 that the Parties were “engaged in discussions regarding a stipulated agreement that would obviate
19 the need for the Court to rule on ExxonMobil’s Motion to Stay,” and agreeing to extend
20 ExxonMobil’s deadline to file its Reply in support of its Motion to Stay one week to April 4,
21 2025, and to extend the 42-day administrative stay one week to April 14, 2025 (Dkt. 37), which
22 the Court entered the same day (Dkt. 38);

23 WHEREAS, the Parties have now reached a stipulated agreement which obviates the need
24 for the Court to rule on ExxonMobil's Motion to Stay;

25 WHEREAS, this stipulation is not intended to operate as an admission of any factual
26 allegation or legal conclusion and is submitted subject to and without waiver of any right,
27 defense, affirmative defense, or objection, including but not limited to subject matter or personal
28 jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that this stipulation does not waive any right to seek any other relief from the Court.

STIPULATION

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

1. This case shall be remanded to state court during the pendency of ExxonMobil's Remand Appeal.

2. While in state court, the Parties agree to proceed only with a motion to quash (and any associated jurisdictional discovery) while the Remand Appeal is pending. If the motion to quash is resolved (including through appeals and/or writ review) and the Remand Appeal is still pending, then the state case will be stayed until the Remand Appeal concludes, subject to the expiration date provided in Paragraph 6, below.

3. The Parties agree that no merits discovery will proceed while the Remand Appeal is pending, except that Plaintiff intends to take a limited set of third-party preservation discovery in two areas: (a) a limited number of depositions of deponents who may not be fit to provide competent testimony or may not survive past the time that the Remand Appeal is complete if the deposition is not conducted until the Remand Appeal is completed; and (b) a limited number of document subpoenas for documents and information subject to the Federal Stored Communications Act (18 U.S.C. § 2701 *et seq.*), which Plaintiff believes limits preservation to 180 days.

4. Before Plaintiff proceeds with such discovery related to Paragraph 3(a), above, it will meet and confer with ExxonMobil. If the Parties are unable to agree on whether the deposition should proceed, the Parties will raise the issue with the state court for decision. To the extent Plaintiff issues document subpoenas as described in Paragraph 3(b) above, ExxonMobil does not waive and preserves its right to respond to the subpoena(s) in the ordinary course in state court (including objecting or moving to quash if appropriate). Plaintiff preserves all rights to respond to any such objections or motions by ExxonMobil.

5. The Parties agree that nothing in this stipulation or any third-party preservation discovery referenced above (including any motion practice regarding same) shall operate as an

1 admission of any factual allegation or legal conclusion, nor constitutes a waiver of any right,
 2 defense, affirmative defense, or objection, including but not limited to subject matter or personal
 3 jurisdiction.

4 6. If the Remand Appeal is not complete by March 31, 2026, the stipulated stay shall
 5 expire (without prejudice to the Parties' agreeing to a further stay or any party seeking additional
 6 relief from the Court to extend the stay).

7 7. ExxonMobil agrees that it will not seek a stay pending the Remand Appeal from
 8 the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal, which would conflict with the purpose of the stipulation.

9 8. Upon remand, the Parties agree to submit a substantially similar stipulation to the
 10 state court to effectuate the purposes of this stipulation. The Parties will also meet and confer
 11 regarding the timing of Plaintiff's opposition to the motion to quash, ExxonMobil's reply in
 12 support of the motion to quash, a hearing date for the motion to quash, and the timing of any
 13 motion practice referenced in Paragraph 4, above.

14

15 Dated: April 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

16

17

/s/ Dawn Sestito

DAWN SESTITO (S.B. #214011)
 MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114)
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
 400 South Hope Street, 18th Floor
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899
 Telephone: (213) 430-6000
 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
 dsestito@omm.com
 mcowan@omm.com

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DAVID J. LENDER (*pro hac vice*)
 david.lender@weil.com
 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 767 Fifth Avenue
 New York, New York 10153-0119
 Telephone: (212) 310-8153
 Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Counsel for Defendant

1 Dated: April 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

3 */s/ Deborah M. Smith* _____
4 JUSTIN J. LEE (S.B. #307148)
5 KEARI PLATT (S.B. #350900)
6 Deputy Attorneys General
7 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702
8 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230
Telephone: (213) 269-6000
Facsimile: (916) 731-2121
Email: Justin.Lee@doj.ca.gov
Keari.Platt@doj.ca.gov

9 DEBORAH M. SMITH (S.B. #208960)
10 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
11 ELISE STOKES (S.B. #288211)
12 AMAYA RAMSAY-MALONE (S.B.
#357396)
13 Deputy Attorneys General
14 1300 I Street
15 Sacramento, CA 95814-2952
16 Telephone: (916) 445-9555
Facsimile: (916) 327-2319
Email: Deborah.Smith@doj.ca.gov
Elise.Stokes@doj.ca.gov
Amaya.RamsayMalone@doj.ca.gov

17 GABRIEL R. MARTINEZ (S.B. #275142)
18 RAISSA S. LERNER (S.B. #187038)
19 STACY J. LAU (S.B. #254507)
ELIZABETH B. RUMSEY (S.B. #257908)
20 Deputy Attorneys General
21 1515 Clay Street
22 Oakland, CA 94612-2515
23 Telephone: (510) 879-1300
Facsimile: (510) 622-2270
E-mail: Gabriel.Martinez@doj.ca.gov
Raissa.Lerner@doj.ca.gov
Stacy.Lau@doj.ca.gov
Liz.Rumsey@doj.ca.gov

25 CLAIR LEONARD (S.B. #346232)
26 DAVID B. WHITE (S.B. #351263)
27 Deputy Attorneys General
300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
28 Los Angeles, CA 90013-1230

1 Telephone: (213) 269-6000
2 Facsimile: (916) 731-2121
3 E-mail: Clair.Leonard@doj.ca.gov
David.White@doj.ca.gov

4 VANESSA C. MORRISON (S.B. #254002)
5 ANGELA T. HOWE (S.B. #239224)
6 KATHERINE C. SCHOON (S.B. #344195)
7 Deputy Attorneys General
8 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
9 San Diego, CA 92101-3375
Telephone: (619) 738-9000
Facsimile: (619) 645-2271
Vanessa.Morrison@doj.ca.gov
Angela.Howe@doj.ca.gov
Katherine.Schoon@doj.ca.gov

10
11 *Counsel for Plaintiff*

12 ** Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3), the
13 electronic signatory has obtained approval
from this signatory.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

Hon. Richard Seeborg
United States District Judge